

INVARIANT MEASURES FOR COMMUTING TRANSFORMATIONS

In this chapter we describe an important conjecture of Furstenberg and related work of Rudolph.

15.1 Furstenberg's conjecture and Rudolph's theorem

Consider the transformations

- (i) $S : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $S(x) = 2x \pmod{1}$, and
- (ii) $T : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $T(x) = 3x \pmod{1}$.

(For a mnemonic aid: S stands for “second” and T for “third”.) It is easy to see that these transformations commute, i.e. $ST = TS$).

Recall that the S -invariant probability measures form a convex weak-star compact set \mathcal{M}_S (and similarly, the T -invariant probability measures form a convex weak-star compact set \mathcal{M}_T).

We want to describe the probability measures which are both T -invariant and S -invariant (i.e. the intersection $\mathcal{M}_S \cap \mathcal{M}_T$). We need only consider the (S, T) -ergodic measures μ in $\mathcal{M}_S \cap \mathcal{M}_T$ (i.e. those probability measures invariant under both S and T for which the only Borel sets B with $T^{-n}S^{-m}B = B \forall n, m \geq 0$ have either $\mu(B) = 0$ or 1, since these are the extremal measures in $\mathcal{M}_S \cap \mathcal{M}_T$).

FURSTENBERG'S CONJECTURE. *The only (S, T) -ergodic measures are the Haar-Lebesgue measure and measures supported on a finite set.*

Notice that the Haar-Lebesgue measure ν has entropies $\log 2$ and $\log 3$, respectively, for the transformations S and T , and any finitely supported measure always has zero entropy with respect to either S or T . The following partial solution is due to D.J. Rudolph.

THEOREM 15.1 (RUDOLPH). *The only (S, T) -ergodic measure μ which has non-zero entropy (w.r.t. either S or T) is the Haar-Lebesgue measure.*

15.2 The proof of Rudolph's theorem

We begin with a few comments.

- (1) Haar-Lebesgue measure ν on the unit circle is characterized as the only probability measure invariant under all rotations on the circle.

Moreover, it is the only measure invariant under all rotations $x \mapsto x + a \pmod{1}$, where a is any rational number $a = \frac{j}{2^k}$.

(2) For $n \geq 1$ and $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ we can write that

$$E(f|T^{-n}\mathcal{B})(x) = \sum_{y \in T^{-n}T^n x} \frac{f(y)}{(T^n)'(y)}$$

where $T'(x) = \frac{d\mu T}{d\mu}$ (and similarly for S).

(3) We can write $(S^n)'(x) = S'(S^{n-1}x) \dots S'(x)$. If we knew that S' is constant (almost everywhere) then by the martingale theorem we would have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(x+a) d\mu &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int E(f(x+a)|S^{-n}\mathcal{B}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int E(f(x)|S^{-n}\mathcal{B}) \\ &= \int f(x) d\mu \end{aligned}$$

and thus we know that ν is the Haar-Lebesgue measure.

(4) Since $ST = TS$ we have that

$$T'(Sx) \cdot S'(x) = (TS)'(x) = (ST)'(x) = S'(Tx) \cdot S'(x).$$

In particular, we can write $\frac{S'(Tx)}{T'(Sx)} = \frac{S'(x)}{T'(x)}$.

We begin with the following simple (but fundamental) Sub-lemma.

SUB-LEMMA 15.1.1. $S'(Tx) = S'(x)$ for almost all x .

PROOF. We begin by claiming that $E(S'|T^{-1}\mathcal{B})(x) = S'(Tx)$. To see this we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} E(S'|T^{-1}\mathcal{B})(x) &= \sum_{y:Ty=Tx} \frac{S'(y)}{T'(y)} \\ &= \sum_{y:Ty=Tx} \frac{S'(Ty)}{T'(Sy)} \text{ (by (4) above)} \\ &= S'(Tx) \left(\sum_{y:Ty=Tx} \frac{1}{T'(Sy)} \right) \\ &= S'(Tx) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that there is a bijection between $\{y : Ty = Tx\}$ and $\{w : Tw = T(Sx)\}$ to write that

$$\sum_{y:Ty=Tx} \frac{1}{T'(Sy)} = \sum_{w:Tw=T(Sx)} \frac{1}{T'(w)} = 1.$$

This proves the claim. To complete the proof of the Sub-lemma we need only show that $E(S'|T^{-1}\mathcal{B})(x) = S'(x)$. However, since $E(\cdot|T^{-1}\mathcal{B})(x) : L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a positive operator which is a contraction and

$$\|E(S'|T^{-1}\mathcal{B})\|_2 = \|S'T\|_2 = \|S'\|_2,$$

we indeed see that $S'T = E(S'|T^{-1}\mathcal{B})(x) = S'(x)$. ■

If we knew that T was ergodic we could now deduce S' is constant. Unfortunately, we don't know this and a little more work is required.

DEFINITION. We let $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}$ denote the *smallest* sub-sigma-algebra for which $S'(x)$ is measurable.

In the course of the proof we shall establish that $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset S^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ (which, by the definition of \mathcal{A}_1 , will imply that $S'(x)$ is constant).

Similarly, we can introduce the sub-sigma-algebras $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{A}_n \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{B}$ where \mathcal{A}_n is the smallest sub sigma-algebra for which all of the functions $S'(x), (S^2)'(x), \dots, (S^n)'(x)$ are measurable.

SUB-LEMMA 15.1.2. *For each $n \geq 1$ we have that*

- (a) $S^{-1}\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$,
- (b) $T^{-1}\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}_n$.

PROOF.

- (a) If we write $(S^{n+1})'(x) = (S^n)'(Sx) \cdot S'(x)$ then, since by hypothesis S^n and S' are \mathcal{A}_n -measurable, the right hand side is measurable with respect to $S^{-1}\mathcal{A}_n$.
- (b) Since $S'(Tx) = S'(x)$ we also see that

$$\begin{aligned} (S^n)'(Tx) &= S'(Tx) \cdot S'(STx) \dots S'(S^{n-1}Tx) \\ &= S'(x) \cdot S'(Sx) \dots S'(S^{n-1}x) \\ &= (S^n)'(x). \end{aligned}$$

But the right hand side is \mathcal{A}_n -measurable by hypothesis. ■

DEFINITION. We write $\mathcal{A} = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$. The above lemma guarantees that $T^{-1}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ and $S^{-1}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

We now move on to entropy considerations. Let $\gamma = \{[0, \frac{1}{6}], [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{6}], \dots, [\frac{5}{6}, 1]\}$ denote the partition into intervals of length one sixth.

SUB-LEMMA 15.1.3. *There exists a sequence $s_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that the partitions*

$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{s_n} S^{-i}\gamma = \left\{ \left[0, \frac{1}{6 \cdot 2^{s_n}}\right], \left[\frac{1}{6 \cdot 2^{s_n}}, \frac{2}{6 \cdot 2^{s_n}}\right], \dots, \left[\frac{6 \cdot 2^{s_n} - 1}{6 \cdot 2^{s_n}}, 1\right] \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{3^n} T^{-i}\gamma = \left\{ \left[0, \frac{1}{6 \cdot 3^n}\right], \left[\frac{1}{6 \cdot 3^n}, \frac{2}{6 \cdot 3^n}\right], \dots, \left[\frac{6 \cdot 3^n - 1}{6 \cdot 3^n}, 1\right] \right\}$$

have the property that every element of either partition is contained in at most four elements of the other partition.

PROOF. For each $n \geq 1$ we choose the values $s_n \geq 1$ such that $3^{n-1} \leq 2^{s_n} \leq 3^n$. The lengths of the intervals for each partition are $\frac{1}{6 \cdot 2^{s_n}}$ and $\frac{1}{6 \cdot 3^n}$ and thus their ratios are bounded above and below by 3 and $\frac{1}{3}$, respectively. This is enough to complete the proof. ■

In what follows we shall make frequent use of the basic identity for entropy: $H(\alpha \vee \beta | \mathcal{C}) = H(\alpha | \mathcal{C} \vee \beta) + H(\beta | \mathcal{C})$.

Recall that the *entropies* of the transformations are given by

$$h(T) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\gamma)$$

and

$$h(S) := \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{k} H(\bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} S^{-i}\gamma).$$

The following sub-lemma shows similar limits involving the sigma-algebra \mathcal{A} .

SUB-LEMMA 15.1.4. *The following limits exist and are equal to the entropies:*

$$h(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\gamma | \mathcal{A})$$

and

$$h(S) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{s_n} H(\bigvee_{i=0}^{s_n-1} S^{-i}\gamma | \mathcal{A}).$$

PROOF. We begin with an argument which is borrowed from the standard entropy identities. We see that for any $n, m \geq 0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\vee_{i=0}^{n+m-1} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A}) \\ &= H(\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A}) + H(\vee_{i=n}^{n+m-1} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A} \vee (\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \gamma)) \\ &\leq H(\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A}) + H(\vee_{i=n}^{n+m-1} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A}) \\ &= H(\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A}) + H(\vee_{i=0}^{m-1} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A}) \end{aligned}$$

(where for the last equality we use that $T^{-1} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$). Thus by subadditivity the limit $h(T | \mathcal{A}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A})$ exists. By the basic equalities for entropy we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\vee_{i=0}^{s_n-1} S^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A}) - H(\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A}) \\ &= H((\vee_{i=0}^{s_n} S^{-i} \gamma) \vee (\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \gamma) | \mathcal{A}) + H(\vee_{i=0}^{s_n} S^{-i} \gamma | (\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \gamma) \vee \mathcal{A}) \\ &\quad - H((\vee_{i=0}^{s_n} S^{-i} \gamma) \vee (\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \gamma) | \mathcal{A}) - H(\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \gamma | (\vee_{i=0}^{s_n} S^{-i} \gamma) \vee \mathcal{A}) \end{aligned}$$

and so we can identify the limit as

$$\begin{aligned} h(T | \mathcal{A}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\vee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(H(\vee_{i=0}^{n-2} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A}) + H(T^{-(n-1)} \gamma | \mathcal{A} \vee (\vee_{i=0}^{n-2} T^{-i} \gamma)) \right) \\ &= h(T) \end{aligned}$$

since

$$h(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} (H(\vee_{i=0}^{n-2} T^{-i} \gamma))$$

and

$$H(T^{-(n-1)} \gamma | \mathcal{A} \vee (\vee_{i=0}^{n-2} T^{-i} \gamma)) \leq H(T^{-(n-1)} \gamma | \mathcal{A}) = H(\gamma | \mathcal{A}) < +\infty.$$

By sublemma 15.1.3 we have that the final expression above is bounded (independently of n) and thus we have that the following limit exists

$$h(S | \mathcal{A}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\vee_{i=0}^{s_n-1} T^{-i} \gamma | \mathcal{A}).$$

Moreover, this argument gives that $h(T | \mathcal{A}) = \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} h(S | \mathcal{A})$.

Observe that if we replace \mathcal{A} by the trivial sigma-algebra then the same argument gives that $h(T) = \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} h(S)$. Comparing these identities we see that $h(S) = h(S | \mathcal{A})$. ■

We now apply Sub-lemma 15.1.4 to show that $\mathcal{A} \subset \vee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i} \gamma$, which is essentially the end of the proof.

SUB-LEMMA 15.1.5. $H(\mathcal{A} | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\mathcal{B}) = 0$.

PROOF. By the basic equality for entropy we have that

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathcal{A} | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\gamma) &= H(\gamma \vee \mathcal{A} | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\beta) - H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\gamma \vee \mathcal{A}) \\ &= H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\beta) - H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\gamma \vee \mathcal{A}) \quad (15.1) \\ &= h(S) - H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\gamma \vee \mathcal{A}) \end{aligned}$$

(where we have used that $H(\gamma \vee \mathcal{A} | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\beta) = H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\beta) = h(S)$). We next observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &H(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} S^{-i}\gamma | \mathcal{A}) \\ &= H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} S^{-i}\gamma \vee \mathcal{A}) + H(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} S^{-i}\gamma | \mathcal{A}) \\ &\leq H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} S^{-i}\gamma \vee \mathcal{A}) + H(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-2} S^{-i}\gamma | \mathcal{A}) \\ &\dots \\ &\leq H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} S^{-i}\gamma \vee \mathcal{A}) + H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-2} S^{-i}\gamma \vee \mathcal{A}) + \dots + H(\gamma | \mathcal{A}) \end{aligned}$$

(This argument is a modification of the standard entropy proof that $h(S) = H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\gamma)$.) Thus from the definition of $h(S|\mathcal{A})$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} h(S|\mathcal{A}) &:= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} S^{-i}\gamma | \mathcal{A}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} S^{-i}\gamma \vee \mathcal{A}) + \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} S^{-i}\gamma | \mathcal{A}) \\ &= H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (15.2)$$

Comparing (15.1) and (15.2) we see that

$$0 \leq H(\gamma | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\gamma) \leq h(T) - h(T|\mathcal{A}) = 0.$$

■

To finish off the proof of Theorem 15.1 we need only recall that $H(\mathcal{A} | \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\gamma) = 0$ implies that $\mathcal{A} \subset \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} S^{-i}\gamma$.

Repeating the argument with S replaced by S^k for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ we see that $\mathcal{A} \subset \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S^{-n}\mathcal{B}$. In particular, this shows that $(S^n)'(y)$ is constant for $y \in \{w | S^n x = S^n w\}$ (almost everywhere).

We observe that since $h(S) > 0$ (equivalently $h(T) > 0$), there must be a set of positive measure on which S has two pre-images (otherwise S would be invertible almost everywhere and then have entropy zero). Moreover we claim that the set with two S pre-images is invariant under S and T . By *ergodicity* of (S, T) we see that almost all points have two pre-images.

This suffices to apply the argument in comment (3).

■

15.3 Comments and references

The original proof of Rudolph had a symbolic formulation [2]. The proof we give here is a version due to Parry [1].

References

1. W. Parry, *Squaring and cubing the circle*, Ergodic Theory, Proceedings of the Warwick Symposium on \mathbb{Z}^d -actions (M. Pollicott and K. Schmidt, ed.), C.U.P., Cambridge, 1996, pp. 177-183.
2. D. Rudolph, *$\times 2$ and $\times 3$ invariant measures and entropy*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. **10** (1990), 395-406.